

The effect of the Coulomb interaction on the mesoscopic persistent current

D. Yoshioka and H. Kato

Institute of Physics, College of Arts and Sciences, University of Tokyo,

Komaba, Meguro-ku, Tokyo 153, Japan

(February 1, 2008)

Abstract

The persistent current in three-dimensional mesoscopic rings is investigated numerically. The model is tight-binding one with random site-energies and interaction between electrons. The self-consistent Hartree-Fock approximation is adopted for the interaction between electrons, and models with up to $6 \times 6 \times 20$ sites are investigated. It is shown that the long-range Coulomb interaction enhances the persistent current for rings with finite width. The origin of the enhancement is discussed.

Keywords: persistent current, mesoscopic ring, Coulomb interaction

Correspondence to: D. Yoshioka, Institute of Physics, University of Tokyo

Meguro-ku, Tokyo 153, Japan

FAX: 81-3-5454-6526

e-mail: daijiro@tansei.cc.u-tokyo.ac.jp

Typeset using REVTeX

I. INTRODUCTION

It has been predicted by Büttiker *et al.* [1] that an equilibrium persistent current flows in a mesoscopic normal-metal ring threaded by a magnetic flux. This prediction has been experimentally confirmed [2–4]. Especially, the experiment on single Au ring [3] revealed that the size of the persistent current observed was quite large. Namely the observed current was $I = (0.3 \sim 2.0)I_0$, where $I_0 = ev_F/L$ is of the order of the persistent current in an ideal one-dimensional ring with v_F being the Fermi velocity and L being the circumference of the ring. The current is periodic in the magnetic flux ϕ piercing the ring with period $\phi_0 = h/e$ as expected. Thus the persistent current was predicted theoretically and confirmed by the experiment. However, this is not the whole story. Quantitative understanding of the current has not been established.

It has been clarified by numerical [5,6] and theoretical [7] investigations that theory without taking into account the interaction between electrons can give current which is too small compared to the experiments [2,3]. Inclusion of the mutual interaction into theory is hard and difficult to assess the validity of the approximation employed, but several groups [8,9] have done such calculations, and obtained results that sample averaged current is of the order of $(l_e/L)I_0$, where l_e is the elastic mean free path. In this case the current is periodic in $\phi_0/2$, because sample averaging kills the component with period ϕ_0 . This current is much smaller than I_0 in the experimental situation of $L \gg l_e$. In these theories the Coulomb interaction acts mainly to maintain the local charge neutrality of the system. Another theory by Kopietz [10] takes into account long-range Coulomb interaction energy associated with the charge fluctuation. This theory gives the average persistent current of the order of $0.1I_0$ with period $\phi_0/2$. This value is large enough to explain the experiments [2]. However, since the fluctuation should be suppressed by the Coulomb interaction itself to maintain the approximate local charge neutrality, it is questionable that such large value survives improvement of the theory [11,12].

On the other hand, numerical investigation is free from approximations, and has a pos-

sibility to give a clear answer to the origin of the large persistent current. However, unfortunately rigorous treatments are limited to quite small system sizes. Thus until now only small one-dimensional systems have been investigated with negative answer to the role of the Coulomb interaction [13–15]. Namely, it has been clarified that in one-dimensional systems the repulsive interaction between electrons suppress the persistent current in situations relevant to the experiment. We have also investigated the one-dimensional system with the Coulomb interaction between electrons [16]. Our results were the same as others. However, we also found that the Hartree-Fock approximation gives almost the same results as those of the exact diagonalization. The merit of the Hartree-Fock approximation is that we can deal with much larger systems. Thus we now apply our method to three-dimensional rings to investigate the effect of the Coulomb interaction. In the present work we have found that for the three-dimensional ring the Coulomb interaction enhances the persistent current.

II. MODEL

We consider the Anderson model with Coulomb interaction between electrons. For simplicity we neglect spin freedom of electrons. Thus we consider a tight binding model on a cubic lattice. Our model ring consists of $N_w \times N_h \times N_\ell$ sites: circumference $N_\ell a$, width $N_w a$, and height $N_h a$, where a is the lattice constant. The coordinate of the i -th site is given by three integers (ℓ_i, w_i, h_i) , where $1 \leq \ell_i \leq N_\ell$, $1 \leq w_i \leq N_w$, and $1 \leq h_i \leq N_h$. Periodic boundary condition is imposed only in ℓ_i , namely $\ell_i + N_\ell = \ell_i$. In the other two directions the lattice is truncated. Each site has random site-energy ε_i , which has uniform distribution between $-W/2$ and $W/2$. Thus our Hamiltonian is

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H} = & - \sum_{i,j} (t_{i,j} e^{i\theta_{i,j}} c_i^\dagger c_j + h.c.) + \sum_i \varepsilon_i c_i^\dagger c_i \\ & + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i \neq j} V \frac{a}{r_{ij}} (c_i^\dagger c_i - \rho_0)(c_j^\dagger c_j - \rho_0), \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

where $c_i^\dagger (c_i)$ is the creation (destruction) operator of a spinless electron, $t_{i,j}$ is non-zero and equal to t only for nearest neighbor hopping, $\theta_{i,j}$ is non-zero only for hopping along the

circumference of the ring and has a value $2\pi\phi/N_\ell\phi_0$, $V = e^2/4\pi\epsilon a$ is the strength of the Coulomb interaction, and ρ_0 is neutralizing positive charge at each site. To make a ring which has the same lattice constant everywhere we have embedded our three-dimensional ring in the four-dimensional space, so the distance r_{ij} between i, j sites is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\frac{r_{ij}}{a}\right)^2 = & 2R^2\{1 - \cos[\frac{2\pi}{N_\ell}(\ell_i - \ell_j)]\} \\ & + (w_i - w_j)^2 + (h_i - h_j)^2, \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

where $R = [2 \sin(\pi/N_\ell)]^{-1}$ is the radius of the ring.

The following treatment is the same as before [16]: The interaction term is decoupled following standard Hartree-Fock scheme by introducing the average $\langle c_i^\dagger c_j \rangle$, which is determined self-consistently by iteration. Once the ground state energy $E_g(\phi)$ is determined self-consistently, the persistent current $I(\phi)$ is given by the derivative of the energy $E_g(\phi)$ with respect to the magnetic flux:

$$I(\phi) = -\frac{\partial E_g(\phi)}{\partial \phi}, \quad (3)$$

Since $E_g(\phi)$ is an even function of ϕ and periodic with period ϕ_0 , we first Fourier analyze the energy,

$$E_g(\phi) = E_0 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} E_n \cos(2\pi n \frac{\phi}{\phi_0}), \quad (4)$$

and obtain the Fourier components of the current:

$$\begin{aligned} I(\phi) &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{2\pi n}{\phi_0} E_n \sin(2\pi n \frac{\phi}{\phi_0}) \\ &\equiv \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} I_n \frac{t}{\phi_0} \sin(2\pi n \frac{\phi}{\phi_0}). \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

Here I_n is a dimensionless number, which depends on realization of the random site-energy and the strength of the Coulomb interaction. In the following we mainly concentrate on the ϕ_0 -periodic component, I_1 .

III. RESULTS

We have investigated up to 720-site systems by this method, the largest being $N_\ell = 20$, $N_w = 6$, and $N_h = 6$ with 300 electrons. The randomness is chosen such that the sample is in the diffusive regime, which is realized around $W/t = 4$ for the samples we have considered.

For the largest sized system we have investigated five samples, which mean five different realization of the random site energies. The results for I_1 for these five samples are shown in Fig. 1, where samples are distinguished by different symbols, circles, two kinds of triangles (normal and upside down), squares, and diamonds. The absolute values of I_1 are plotted, the sign of I_1 is distinguished by open and closed symbols, open meaning positive and closed meaning negative value of I_1 , respectively. It can be seen that the current is enhanced in four samples out of five. This is the first evidence that the Coulomb interaction can enhance the persistent current.

On the other hand, it has been established that the Coulomb interaction suppresses the persistent current for one-dimensional samples. It is evident that the finite cross section of the sample is responsible for the enhancement. Thus to clarify the effect we have calculated persistent current for systems with the same length but with smaller cross sections. In such calculations we have chosen the number of electrons such that it is about 40% of the lattice sites. The results are shown in Fig.2. Here we show sample averaged typical current, which is defined as $I_{\text{typ}} = (\langle I_1^2 \rangle)^{1/2}$, where $\langle \rangle$ means average over five realizations of the random site energies for each sample size. The system sizes considered are $1 \times 1 \times 20$ sites with 8 electrons, $2 \times 2 \times 20$ sites with 32 electrons, $3 \times 3 \times 20$ sites with 72 electrons, $4 \times 4 \times 20$ sites with 128 electrons, $5 \times 5 \times 20$ sites with 200 electrons, and $6 \times 6 \times 20$ sites with 300 electrons, which are shown by open circles, closed circles, open triangles closed triangles, open squares, and closed squares, respectively. It is seen that the typical current in the absence of the interaction increases quite weakly as the cross section of the ring, namely the number of channels, increases. This is the feature expected in the diffusive regime. On the other hand, when the interaction is taken into account, the current begins to depend on the

cross section. The current is suppressed for the one-dimensional case, but is enhanced for the three dimensional case, the largest sized system being the strongest.

In order to understand the origin of the enhancement, we have divided current into three parts, namely into the single-particle term I_s , the Hartree term I_H and the Fock term I_F , which are defined as follows, respectively:

$$I_s(\phi) = -(\partial/\partial\phi)\langle -\sum_{i \neq j} (t_{i,j} e^{i\theta_{ij}} c_i^\dagger c_j + h.c.) + \sum_i \varepsilon_i c_i^\dagger c_i \rangle,$$

$$I_H(\phi) = -(\partial/\partial\phi) \sum_{i \neq j} V(a/2r_{ij}) \langle c_i^\dagger c_i \rangle \langle c_j^\dagger c_j \rangle,$$

and

$$I_F(\phi) = (\partial/\partial\phi) \sum_{i \neq j} V(a/2r_{ij}) \langle c_i^\dagger c_j \rangle \langle c_j^\dagger c_i \rangle.$$

The root mean square of these contributions for the one-dimensional system and the largest sample, $6 \times 6 \times 20$ sites, are shown in Figs.3(a) and (b), respectively. We see that in the one-dimensional case the contribution from the interaction terms are smaller than the single electron term, I_s , which decreases as V increases. On the other hand both the single-particle term and the Hartree term are enhanced considerably by the Coulomb interaction for the three-dimensional system, the Fock term remaining quite small. The former two contribution has different signs, and the strong enhancement is almost canceled in the total current.

IV. DISCUSSION

In the present work we have shown for the first time that the Coulomb interaction can enhance the persistent current. It is true that the enhancement is not large enough to be comparable with the experiment, where the persistent current of the order of pure one-dimensional ring, $I_0 = ev_F/L$, has been observed. The size of the current amounts to $I_1 = 4\pi/N_\ell \simeq 0.628$, if we use $v_F = 2|t|a\hbar$, and $N_\ell = 20$. However, the cross section dependence of the enhancement is encouraging, since the actual sample has width of 90 nm, which is much larger than the lattice constant of the Au, 0.3 nm.

Then the next question will be whether the strength of the Coulomb interaction is appropriate or not. This is a difficult question, since size of our system is still too small compared to the experiment, where about 10^8 atoms are involved. Here we only comment that if our system is actually made of Au atoms, t is of the order of 1eV, and bare Coulomb energy between one lattice constant is larger than t : $V = e^2/4\pi\epsilon a \simeq 5eV > t$. So even if we consider the optical dielectric constant $\epsilon(\infty)$ by ion core, V and t are of the same order. We have found the strongest enhancement when V is a little smaller than t . In the actual system the interaction is screened by electrons, but such screening effect is included in our self-consistent solution. We need the flux dependence of the total ground state energy which includes the energy associated with the screening. Therefore use of the long-range Coulomb interaction is needed and justified.

Our treatment is not exact as we use the Hartree-Fock approximation. We have justified it by comparison with the results of exact diagonalization for small one-dimensional systems. Actually for one-dimensional system the suppression of the current is stronger in the Hartree-Fock approximation than the exact method. Thus it is possible that the enhancement in the 3-d case is stronger in the exact treatment. However, more investigation will be needed in order for us to truly justify and assess our method.

Now the final question will be the mechanism of the enhancement. One possible explanation is the screening of the random potential. The electron at the Fermi level feels screened site-energy which is flattened, so the current is enhanced. In the case of one-dimensional system the screening is also effective, but electrons are not allowed to pass through each other, thus the current is suppressed by localization of some of electrons. When the cross section of the ring is small enough, this blockade is still effective. However, Figs.3(a) and (b) suggest another scenario. It is seen that I_s and I_H are enhanced by the Coulomb interaction considerably for the tree-dimensional system. Similar behavior is also seen for systems with cross section larger or equal to 3×3 sites, but not seen for systems with smaller cross sections. The large value of the Hartree contribution means that the direct Coulomb energy changes considerably as the magnetic flux changes. This is caused by the deformation of the

electron wave function as the flux changes. The deformation of the wave function does not be done freely. The Coulomb energy suppresses it for the system to approach to the charge neutrality. Thus both the single particle part and the Hartree part are enhanced, but they nearly cancel each other. What left of this cancellation brings the enhanced total current. The finite size of the cross section makes the Coulomb energy larger, and also gives more freedom for the wave function deform as the flux changes. This makes the qualitative difference between the one-dimensional system, Fig.3(a), and three-dimensional system, Fig.3(b). Figure 3(b) shows that the ground state is quite different from that of the non-interacting system, where we get quite small persistent current. This explains why the theories using the diagrammatic expansion [8,9,17,18] could not get the enhancement: Since the ground state has changed considerably from that without the Coulomb interaction, perturbational calculation cannot give correct answer.

In conclusion we have shown for the first time that the persistent current is enhanced by the long-range Coulomb interaction for three-dimensional rings. We have clarified that a ring with finite cross section is necessary for the enhancement.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

One of the authors (D. Y.) thanks Aspen Center for Physics where part of this work was done. The numerical calculation was done by HITAC S-3800/480 at the Computer Center of the University of Tokyo.

REFERENCES

- [1] M. Büttiker, Y. Imry, and R. Landauer, Phys. Lett. **96A**, 365 (1983).
- [2] L. P. Lévy, G. Dolan, J. Dunsmuir, and H. Bouchiat, Phys. Rev. Lett. **64**, 2074 (1990).
- [3] V. Chandrasekhar, R. A. Webb, M. J. Brady, M. B. Ketchen, W. J. Gallagher, and A. Kleinsasser, Phys. Rev. Lett. **67**, 3578 (1991).
- [4] D. Mailly, C. Chapelier, and A. Benoit, Phys. Rev. Lett. **70**, 2020 (1993).
- [5] H. Bouchiat and G. Montambaux, J. Phys. (Paris) **50**, 2695 (1989).
- [6] G. Montambaux, H. Bouchiat, D. Sigeti, and R. Friesner, Phys. Rev. B **42**, 7647 (1990).
- [7] A. Altland, S. Iida, A. Müller-Groeling and H.A. Weidenmüller, Europhys. Lett. **20**, 155 (1992), and Ann. Phys. (N.Y.) **219**, 148 (1992).
- [8] V. Ambegaokar and U. Eckern, Phys. Rev. Lett. **65**, 381 (1990).
- [9] A. Schmid, Phys. Rev. Lett. **66**, 80 (1991).
- [10] P. Kopietz, Phys. Rev. Lett **70**, 3123 (1993).
- [11] G. Vignale, Phys. Rev. Lett **72**, 433 (1994).
- [12] A. Altland and Y. Gefen, Phys. Rev. Lett **72**, 2973 (1994).
- [13] M. Abraham and R. Berkovits, Phys. Rev. Lett. **70**, 1509 (1993).
- [14] G. Bouzerar, D. Poilblanc, and G. Montambaux, Phys. Rev. B **49**, 8258 (1994).
- [15] A.O. Gogolin and N.V. Prokof'ev, Phys. Rev. B, **50**, 4921 (1994) and A.O. Gogolin, to be published in Ann. de Physique.
- [16] H. Kato and D. Yoshioka, Phys. Rev. B **50**, 4943 (1994).
- [17] F. von Oppen and E. K. Riedel, Phys. Rev. Lett. **66**, 84 (1991).
- [18] B. L. Altshuler, Y. Gefen, and Y. Imry, Phys. Rev. Lett. **66**, 88 (1991).

FIGURES

FIG. 1. Fourier component of the persistent current with period ϕ_0 is plotted as a function of the strength of the Coulomb interaction V/t for the randomness $W/t = 4.0$. The five different symbols, circle, two kinds of triangles, square, and diamond indicate five different realization of the randomness. The absolute values of the current are plotted with the open (closed) symbols indicating that the value is positive (negative).

FIG. 2. Root-mean-square of the Fourier component of the persistent current with period ϕ_0 , I_{typ} , is plotted as a function of the strength of the Coulomb interaction V/t for the randomness $W/t = 4$. Results of six different sized systems are plotted. The system sizes considered are $1 \times 1 \times 20$ sites with 8 electrons, $2 \times 2 \times 20$ sites with 32 electrons, $3 \times 3 \times 20$ sites with 72 electrons, $4 \times 4 \times 20$ sites with 128 electrons, $5 \times 5 \times 20$ sites with 200 electrons, and $6 \times 6 \times 20$ sites with 300 electrons, which are shown by open circles, closed circles, open triangles, closed triangles, open squares, and closed squares, respectively.

FIG. 3. Root-mean-square of the Fourier component of the three contributions to the total current for the systems with (a) $1 \times 1 \times 20$ sites with 8 electrons and (b) $6 \times 6 \times 20$ sites with 300 electrons. The single-particle contribution I_s , the Hartree contribution I_H , and the Fock contribution I_F are shown by circles, triangles and squares, respectively. It should be noticed that the vertical scale is expanded 10 times in (a).